The Asymmetric Cell Division Regulators Par3, Scribble and Pins/Gpsm2 Are Not Essential for Erythroid Development or Enucleation
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Abstract

Erythroid enucleation is the process by which the future red blood cell disposes of its nucleus prior to entering the blood stream. This key event during red blood cell development has been likened to an asymmetric cell division (ACD), by which the enucleating erythroblast divides into two very different daughter cells of alternate molecular composition, a nucleated cell that will be removed by associated macrophages, and the reticulocyte that will mature to the definitive erythrocyte. Here we investigated gene expression of members of the Par, Scribble and Pins/Gpsm2 asymmetric cell division complexes in erythroid cells, and functionally tested their role in erythroid enucleation in vivo and ex vivo. Despite their roles in regulating ACD in other contexts, we found that these polarity regulators are not essential for erythroid enucleation, nor for erythroid development in vivo. Together our results put into question a role for cell polarity and asymmetric cell division in erythroid enucleation.

Introduction

Erythroid enucleation embodies many features of an asymmetric cell division[1–10] and results in the generation of two unique daughter cells: the pyrenocyte containing the condensed nucleus and the anucleated reticulocyte that will further mature into the erythrocyte found in the peripheral blood. One of the first visible steps of establishing polarity prior to nuclear extrusion is the phosphoinositide 3-kinase/microtubule -directed migration of the nucleus to one side of the cytoplasm, whereby the nucleus apposes the cell membrane[5]. This
event is followed by a polarized enrichment of cytoskeletal elements, including actin, and myo-
sin II to the future restriction site between pyrenocyte and future red blood cell[6–9]. During
the enucleation process specific membrane proteins are either sorted to the nucleus (e.g. Emp
protein) or to the future reticulocyte (e.g. spectrin)[7, 10].

Asymmetric cell division (ACD) is crucial for generating cell progeny with diverse func-
tions and requires a polarized distribution of cell fate determinants, cytoskeletal regulators and
polarity proteins. The pathways regulating asymmetric cell division have mainly been derived
from studies in Drosophila melanogaster, particularly from neuroblasts, which undergo up to
20 rounds of asymmetric cell divisions to generate neurons of the larval nervous system[11].
Three cell polarity complexes have been identified to contribute to the establishment of asym-
metry in Drosophila neuroblasts: the Par, Pins and Scribble complexes[12]. The Pins complex
(comprised of Inscuteable (Insc), Partner of Insc (Pins) and a Gαi subunit) regulates mitotic
spindle orientation by providing an attachment site for astral microtubules[13]. The Par com-
plex (made up of Par3, Par6 and atypical Protein Kinase C (aPKC)) and the Scribble complex
(comprised of Scribble, Discs Large (Dlg) and Lethal Giant Larvae (Lgl)) are required for the
establishment of cell polarity that is critical for ACD[14]. All of these complexes are conserved
in vertebrates and are thought to play similar roles. For example, Par3 has been implicated in
determining polarity in mammalian oogenesis[15], an example of an asymmetric cell division,
in which the egg divides to produce an oocyte and a small polar body. Cells of the hematopo-
ietic systems make fate decisions in order to either self-renew, differentiate, proliferate or to
undergo apoptosis. ACD may control these decisions[16]. For example, it has been suggested
that T-cell development displays many features characteristic of ACD[17]. In line with these
observations, studies using knockdown and knockout approaches implicated important roles
for the Scribble and Par3 complexes in the development and function of lymphocytes[18].

Pins, also known as Gpsm2 (G protein signaling modulator 2) is thought to enhance haemato-
poietic stem cell function through altered asymmetric and symmetric divisions[19].

Despite the proposal that erythroid enucleation embodies many features of ACD, the
requirement for ACD regulators in this event has not been investigated to date. Given that
ACD utilizes a conserved molecular toolbox across species and within different forms of tissue
development[20], we examined expression of known critical asymmetric division genes, from
the Scribble, Par and Pins complex in orthochromatic erythroblasts, and used the corresponding
mouse models to functionally test the role of ACD regulators in erythroid development
and enucleation.

Materials and Methods

Materials
Phenylhydrazine hydrochloride (PHZ) was purchased from Aldrich Chemistry. PE-Cy7 con-
jugated anti-CD44 mouse antibodies were purchased from BD Pharmingen. Alexa Fluor 647
conjugated anti-Ter119 mouse antibodies were purchased from Biolegend. Hoechst 33342 was
purchased from Invitrogen. Propidium iodide (PI) was purchased from Merck. Rapid Diff
stain was purchased from Australian Biostain.

Animal experiments
This study was carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations of the Victorian
Bureau of Animal Welfare, Department of Primary Industries, and the National Health and
Medical Research Council’s Australian code of practice for the care and use of animals for sci-
entific purposes. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Com-
mittee: Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre Animal Experimentation Ethics Committee under
Permit number E535. All efforts were made to minimize suffering. All mice (71 females, 72 males) used in this study were on a C57BL/6 background and 6–12 weeks of age. Mice were kept at 21˚C, with a humidity of approximately 60% on a 14h light/10h dark cycle and fed with standard mouse cubes (Ridley Agri). EpoR-Cre\(^{ki/+}\) mice[21] (referred to as EpoR-Cre\(^{+/+}\) in this manuscript) were provided by Dr. Carl Walkley (St. Vincent’s Institute, Melbourne, Australia). Conditional Par3 knockout mice (\(Pard3^{\beta}\)) were generated by the laboratory of Prof. Shigeo Ohno (Yokohama City University, Yokohama, Japan)[22]. Conditional Scrib knockout mouse allele (\(Scrib^{fl}\)) were generated in-house[23]. Gpsm2\(^{AC}\) mice were generated by Fumio Matsuzaki[24].

To induce stress erythropoiesis mice at 6–12 weeks of age were administered intraperitoneal injections of phenylhydrazine hydrochloride (60\(\mu\)g/g) on day 0 and day 1 of the experiments. On day 4, cells were isolated from bone marrow and spleens. Following phenylhydrazine hydrochloride treatment, mice were monitored for signs of discomfort every two hours as defined by lethargy, ruffled fur or a hunched appearance, at which time the mice were considered to have reached the ethically permitted humane endpoint criteria and were humanely euthanized using cervical dislocation.

**FACS analysis of erythropoiesis and enucleation**

For analysis of erythropoiesis cells were harvested from bone marrow or spleen and stained for Ter119 and CD44[25]. PI was used to exclude dead cells from the sort. Erythropoiesis was analyzed using FACS LSR II. 20000 viable cells were analyzed for each sample.

Analysis of enucleation was done as previously described[26]. Shortly, ex vivo cells isolated from bone marrow or spleen were stained for CD44, Ter119, Hoechst and PI. All Hoechst negative (enucleated) cells were excluded from the sort. Orthochromatic erythroblasts were isolated based on their Ter119 and CD44 expression by FACS Aria II special order system (BD) using the FACS Diva software (BD). Enucleation was analyzed 5h post sort using FACS LSR II. 5000 cells were analyzed per sample. Net percentage of enucleation was then derived by dividing the number of enucleated cells (Ter119\(^+/\)/Hoechst\(^-\)) by the sum of enucleated cells and erythroblasts (Ter119\(^+/\)/Hoechst\(^+\)), and by subsequently multiplying the quotient by 100.

**RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and qPCR**

Total RNA was extracted from FACS sorted erythroid cells, and reversed transcribed with Superscript III (Invitrogen). Real-time PCR amplification of cDNA was done in triplicates in a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Forward sequence (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Reverse sequence (5’-3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\beta 2M)</td>
<td>TTCACCCACCAGTACT</td>
<td>GTCTGGGCTCGACGAATA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scribble</td>
<td>GAGGAGATTACCGCTACAGTGTA</td>
<td>TGTCACTGGGCCAACCTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lgl1</td>
<td>TTGTGAGGCTGCTCTACTTTG</td>
<td>GGTTGCCGCCGACAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lgl2</td>
<td>GGTGGATTAAACACCAAGCATA</td>
<td>TGGGCTTTTGAGGTCTGACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dig1</td>
<td>GAGATGACGAGTGGAGTGA</td>
<td>AATCGGGCGTTTCTTCTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dig3</td>
<td>GGTGAAAGAAGAGAAGGGCCT</td>
<td>GCATCTCTGTTATGGGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dig4</td>
<td>CCAAGAGGAGGCTGCTGGATATG</td>
<td>GGCGCTTTGATTCATGTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pins</td>
<td>GGCAGGTTTCTGTAATTCA</td>
<td>AGGCTAAGAGCTCTTCATC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insc</td>
<td>GTTGGCTCAGCTGCTGGTCCTC</td>
<td>GGTTAGTGACACAGTGTCCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apko(\alpha)</td>
<td>AGGGAGGGCGGGACACTT</td>
<td>CAGTACCCCTGGCGATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apko</td>
<td>GAGCTTGAGCTGGAGTGAAAG</td>
<td>GGAAATACATGAAACTCAGATCTGAGTAATCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pard3</td>
<td>CCACTGGTTCTCCTGAGTATA</td>
<td>AACACTCCGGTCATGGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pard3 (to show KO)</td>
<td>GAGATTGTGGCAGTGACTG</td>
<td>GAGGGCGTGCCTCAATT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170295.t001
StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA) using the SYBER Green gene expression assay (Applied Biosystems, USA). All samples were normalized to β2Macroglobulin (β2M) control and fold change between samples was calculated using the comparative C(T) method. Primers used for qRT-PCR are listed below (Table 1).

Genotyping

Mice were genotyped from genomic DNA isolated from tail biopsies. To show deletion of the floxed allele, DNA was isolated from FACS sorted erythroid cells (Ter119 positive). EpoR-Cre WT/Tg alleles were detected using the following primers 5’-TCCCGGACCCCA AGTTTGAG-3’, 5’-AAGCCTCTGCCCTGAGCATCAC-3’ and 5’-GTGTGGCTGCCCTTCTCGCA-3’ [27]. The PCR program employed was 95˚C for 5 minutes, followed by 43 cycles of 95˚C for 30 seconds, 62˚C for 30 seconds, 72˚C for 30 seconds, and a final elongation step at 72˚C for 5 minutes.

Pard3 WT/Floxed alleles were detected using the following primers 5’-AGGCTAGCCTGGGTGATT TGAGACC-3’ and 5’-TTCCCTGAGGCCTGACACTCCAGTC-3’. The PCR reaction was performed at 95˚C for 5 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of 95˚C for 30 seconds, 64˚C for 30 seconds and 72˚C for 30 seconds. A final elongation was performed at 72˚C for 5 minutes. The recombined Pard3 allele was detected using the following primers 5’-TACCGTTAACT GCA GCT CGGCTCTG-3’ and 5’-AGCTGGCGCTGGTACCATCTCCTCC-3’. The PCR program employed was 94˚C for 3 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of 94˚C for 30 seconds, 56˚C for 60 seconds, 72˚C for 45 seconds. A final elongation was performed at 72˚C for 3 minutes.

WT and LoxP-flanked Scrib alleles were detected using the following primer sequences 5’-GCCATGGTGGCAGAGGTTGGAACCCCAAGTTTGAG-3’, 5’-TGCTTTCTCCCAGACTCAGG-3’. The recombined Scrib allele was detected using the following primer sequence 5’-GAGAAAGTTGGCGCTGGTG-3’. The PCR program employed was 95˚C for 2 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of 94˚C for 30 seconds, 56˚C for 30 seconds, 72˚C for 30 seconds, and an elongation step of 72˚C for 10 minutes.

Gpsm2 WT and deleted Gpsm2 alleles were detected using the following primer sequences 5’-TCCTCCATCTGCTGCCACCTAG-3’, 5’-ACAGCCACCCGAGTCACAG-3’ and 5’-TTTACGATTGGCTACCACTCCAGT-3’. The PCR program employed was 95˚C for 5 minutes, followed by 43 cycles of 95˚C for 30 seconds, 66˚C for 30 seconds and 72˚C for 1 minute, and an elongation step of 72˚C for 5 minutes.

Osmotic fragility test

1μl of blood (from eye bleeds) was added to 200μl of various NaCl concentrations (1, 0.85, 0.75, 0.65, 0.6, 0.55, 0.5, 0.45, 0.4, 0.35, 0.3, 0.2, 0.1, 0% NaCl in dH2O). The blood was gently mixed with the NaCl solution and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes. The sample was gently mixed again and then spun in a microcentrifuge at 14,000rpm for 2 minutes. The supernatant was transferred into a well of a 96-well plate and absorption measured (OD 550nm) by a
Fig 2. The ACD regulators Par3, Scribble or Gpsm2 are not required for steady-state erythropoiesis in the bone marrow. (A) Graphs showing total cell numbers per femur (on left) and percentages of erythroid cells (Ter119 positive) in femurs (on right) isolated from age-matched
plate reader. Percent hemolysis was calculated by dividing the absorption measured for the sample by the absorption measured in the well containing that sample in 0% NaCl (max. hemolysis), and by subsequently multiplying the quotient by 100.

Results and Discussion

Late stage erythroblasts express polarity regulators

To test whether the core conserved ACD machinery was involved in erythroid enucleation, we first examined the expression of ACD regulators in terminal differentiating erythroblasts and found that orthochromatic erythroblasts (the stage prior to enucleation) express genes of the Scribble complex (Scrib, Lgl1, Lgl2, Dlg1, Dlg3, Dlg4), the Pins complex (Gpsm2, Insc), and the Par3 complex (aPKCζ, aPKCi, Pard3) (S1 Fig). Of all genes surveyed, Pard3 was significantly upregulated in orthochromatic erythroblasts compared to earlier, proliferating stages (S1C Fig).

Characterization of knockout mouse models

We next tested if Par3, and other ACD regulators, Scribble and Gpsm2, play a role in erythroid enucleation. Par3 deficiency in the whole mouse results in defective cardiac development and embryonic lethality in the midgestational stage.[22] Similarly, Scribble null mice are neonatal lethal due to neural tube and abdominal wall closure defects.[28, 29]. To investigate the biological functions of these polarity regulators in erythroid cells in vivo, mice harboring conditional Par3[22] or Scrib[23] alleles were crossed to EporCre<sup>ki/+</sup> mice[21]. Expression of Cre recombinase from the endogenous Epor locus targets Cre expression to erythroid cells and therefore depletes Par3/Scribble specifically in the erythroid compartment as confirmed by PCR and qPCR analysis (S2A and S2B Fig). To assess Gpsm2 loss of function, we utilized Gpsm2<sup>ΔC</sup> mice that carry a germline intragenic deletion of the GoLoco motif[24]. We confirmed the presence of this deletion by PCR and qPCR analysis (S2C Fig). Defective asymmetric cell divisions have been observed in these mice previously during neurogenesis[24].

Polarity regulators Par3, scribble and Gpsm2 are not essential for red blood cell development

Investigation of blood smears of peripheral blood collected from age-matched mice of the indicated genotypes revealed no enucleation defects in red blood cells in the absence of functional erythroid Par3, Scribble or Gpsm2 expression (Fig 1A (i-iii)). Whole blood analysis also confirmed no significant changes in other parameters including hemoglobin, hematocrit, mean corpuscular volume and red cell distribution width in mice with erythroid cells deficient for Par3, Scribble or Gpsm2 (Fig 1B (i-iii)). These results suggested that the key ACD regulators may not be required for erythroid enucleation in vivo. To formally exclude the possibility that a redundant physiological mechanism might act to rescue ACD defects in vivo, we investigated the ability of Par3, Scribble or Gpsm2-depleted orthochromatic erythroblasts to enucleate in media ex vivo[26] in the absence of surrounding supporting microenvironment. We found no differences in enucleation efficiencies of Par3, Scribble or Gpsm2 depleted erythroblasts.
Fig 3. The ACD regulators Par3, Scribble and Gpsm2 are not required for erythroid enucleation during stress erythropoiesis. (A) Representative images of blood smears from peripheral blood derived from PHZ treated, age-matched mice of the indicated genotypes of the different mouse models. Scale bar = 10 μm. (B) Whole blood analysis using the CELL-DYN Sapphire System was performed on peripheral blood derived from PHZ treated, age-matched mice of the indicated genotypes of the indicated mouse models. Data represent the mean (+/- SD) of 3–6 independent
compared to age-matched wild-type counterparts isolated from bone marrow (Fig 1C), indicating that the key ACD regulators Par3, Gpsm2 or Scribble are not essential for enucleation.

Osmotic fragility is affected in erythrocytes with abnormal membrane integrity and/or abnormal surface area-to-volume ratios[30]. As many membrane proteins are asymmetrically distributed during the enucleation event, we also investigated the membrane integrity of erythrocytes depleted of Par3, Scribble or Gpsm2 by measuring osmotic fragility. However, no difference in the degree of hemolysis could be identified (Fig 1D (i-iii)).

To exclude the possibility that long-term physiological compensation in erythropoiesis was not masking enucleation defects, we investigated whether erythropoiesis in general is affected in animals harboring erythroblasts that lack ACD regulators. Analysis of bone marrow cellularity (Fig 2A, left) and the proportion of erythroid cells (Fig 2A, right) in femoral bone marrow, revealed no significant differences between mice deficient of erythroid Par3, Scribble or Gpsm2 expression compared to age-matched wild-type controls. Analysis of erythropoiesis during homeostasis in bone marrow also showed no significant difference in the percentage of the different developmental erythroblast stages (Fig 2B and 2C). Altogether, these data indicate that the Par3, Scribble and Gpsm2 polarity regulators are dispensable for the full maturation of erythrocytes in vivo.

Polarity regulators Par3, scribble and Gpsm2 are not essential for red blood cell development during stress erythropoiesis

As we did not observe any enucleation deficits in erythroblasts depleted of functional Par3, Scribble or Gpsm2 during steady-state conditions, we next evaluated whether loss of these ACD regulators impacted on enucleation during stress erythropoiesis as this could potentially reveal rate-limiting requirements for these genes in enucleation. However, no enucleation defects were observed in the different mouse models based on blood smear analysis (Fig 3A (i-iii)) and whole blood analysis (Fig 3B (i-iii)) following phenylhydrazine (PHZ) -induced hemolytic stress erythropoiesis. We did observe a small but significant increase in the number of erythrocytes in the peripheral blood of Gpsm2ΔC/ΔC mice, compared to age-matched controls but no other differences were observed (Fig 3A).

To test whether the ex vivo enucleating efficiency of orthochromatric erythroblasts isolated from spleens during stress erythropoiesis was affected by the functional loss of Par3, Scribble or Gpsm2, we compared enucleation rates of splenic orthochromatic erythroblasts from PHZ treated mice of the indicated genotypes to those of their age-matched controls (Fig 3C (i-iii)). Interestingly, the basal enucleation rate is much higher in splenic orthochromatic erythroblasts following PHZ induced stress erythropoiesis (around 60%) compared to the enucleation rate of orthochromatric erythroblasts isolated from bone marrow (up to 30%) (compare Fig 1C to Fig 3C). Regardless, no differences in enucleation efficiencies were observed between genotypes, suggesting that Par3, Scribble or Gpsm2 are not required for enucleation in the spleen during stress erythropoiesis. In addition, peripheral blood from PHZ treated mice lacking erythroid expression of Par3 or Scribble or whole body Gpsm2 were subjected to decreasing

(C) Orthochromatric erythroblasts were enriched by FACS (Aria II) from spleen of PHZ treated mice of the indicated genotypes of the indicated mouse models and incubated in 96-well plates at 30000 cells per well. Graphs showing enucleation efficiencies 5h post sort quantified by LSR II. Data represent the mean (+/- SD) of 3 independent experiments. *P< 0.05, **P< 0.01, ***P< 0.001, ****P< 0.0001 (unpaired student’s t-test).

(D) Whole blood derived from PHZ treated, aged-matched mice of the indicated genotypes of the different mouse models was exposed to decreasing concentrations of sodium chloride (NaCl) and the degree of hemolysis measured colorimetrically. Data represent the mean (+/- SD) of 3 independent experiments.
Fig 4. The ACD regulators Par3, Scribble and Gpsm2 are not required for stress erythropoiesis in the spleen. (A) Graphs showing spleen weights relative to body weights determined from untreated and PHZ treated, age-matched mice of the indicated genotypes of the different mouse genotypes. (B) Graphs showing the number of cells per spleen and the percentage of Ter119-positive cells. (C) Graphs showing the percentage of erythroid cells at different stages of differentiation.
concentrations of NaCl and the degree of hemolysis measured and compared to age-matched controls. Again, no difference in the degree of hemolysis could be identified (Fig 3D).

Analysis of stress erythropoiesis in general also showed no defects: Spleen weights revealed no significant differences in mice deficient of erythroid Par3, Scribble or Gpsm2 expression compared to age-matched wild-type controls (Fig 4A). Analysis of cellularity (Fig 4B, left) and the proportion of erythroid cells (Fig 4A, right) or their erythroid subsets (Fig 4C) in suspensions made from spleens isolated from PHZ treated mice, also revealed no significant differences. Collectively, these results suggest that enucleation is not affected by erythroid specific loss of functional Par3 or Scribble, or whole body loss of Gpsm2 under steady-state or stress conditions.

It is important to note that efficient erythropoiesis is crucial for organismal survival and that knockdown of functionally important genes is often associated with either embryonic death or high redundancy. We have started to examine compensatory mechanisms by qPCR (S3 Fig) but could not detect any significant compensatory upregulation in the knockout models tested here at a transcriptional level. However, it will be crucial to test whether other ACD regulators can compensate for the loss of Par3, Scribble or Gpsm2 in erythroid cells. The generation and analysis of double knockout mutants may shed light on this possibility. Future experiments will also involve examining expression and localization of ACD regulators at the protein level. Nevertheless, given that Par3, Scribble and Gpsm2 are fundamental regulators of polarity and ACD in invertebrates and mammalian cells, our results strongly indicate that the extreme asymmetry during erythroid enucleation is not established through these key ACD regulators. Red blood cells develop in erythroblastic islands, where erythroblasts differentiate bound to a central macrophage[31]. It is therefore possible that adhesion to the extracellular environment, e.g. Emp (erythroblasts macrophage protein) dependent adhesion between erythroblasts and macrophages[32, 33], or adhesion between erythroblasts and fibronectin [34], or possibly adhesion amongst erythroblasts[35], could provide the necessary cues to control asymmetric positioning of the cytoskeleton prior and during enucleation, rather than cell-intrinsic asymmetry. The observation that erythroid enucleation can occur in vitro in the absence of many of these microenvironmental cues though also suggests that other non-deterministic and stochastic mechanisms could be utilized to generate these asymmetries. Indeed, a key difference between ACD and erythroid enucleation is that canonical ACD involves not just polarization, but also alignment of that polarity with the spindle. Further, instead of a specific directionality, the recruitment of the nucleus to any part of the erythrocyte cortex might be the only requirement. Together, our findings suggest that erythrocyte enucleation may only have a minimal requirement for extracellular cues and the coordination provided by polarity complexes.

Supporting Information
S1 Fig. ACD genes are expressed in orthochromatic erythroblasts. Gene expression of genes from Scribble (A), Gpsm2 (B) and Par (C) complex in orthochromatic compared to late, but still proliferating erythroblasts isolated from spleens of phenylhydrazine (PHZ) treated wild-type mice. Data are means (+/- SD) of 3 independent experiments. *P< 0.05, **P< 0.01,
***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001 (unpaired student’s t-test).
(TIF)

S2 Fig. Molecular characterization of mouse models. (A) To investigate the biological functions of polarity regulator Par3 in vivo, mice harboring a Pard3 construct flanked by loxP sites were crossed to EpoR-Cre” mice. (i.) Representative PCR analysis showing deletion of the floxed Pard3 allele in erythroblasts isolated by FACS (Aria II) from bone marrow (BM) suspensions harvested from mice of the indicated genotypes (ii.) Graph showing relative Pard3 gene expression measured in FACS sorted erythroid cells derived from bone marrow harvested from mice of the indicated genotypes. Data represent the mean (+/- SD) of 3 independent experiments. (B) Mice harboring a Scrib construct flanked by loxP sites were crossed to EpoR-Cre” mice. (i.) Representative PCR analysis showing deletion of the floxed Scrib allele in erythroblasts isolated by FACS (Aria II) from bone marrow (BM) suspensions harvested from mice of the indicated genotypes (ii.) Graph showing relative Scrib gene expression measured in FACS sorted erythroid cells derived from bone marrow harvested from mice of the indicated genotypes. Data represent the mean (+/- SD) of 2–3 independent experiments. (C) Characterization of Gpsm2ΔC mice. (i.) Representative PCR analysis showing deletion of the Gpsm2 allele in erythroblasts isolated by FACS (Aria II) from bone marrow (BM) suspensions harvested from mice of the indicated genotypes (ii.) Graph showing relative Gpsm2 gene expression measured in FACS sorted erythroid cells derived from bone marrow harvested from mice of the indicated genotypes. Data represent the mean (+/- SD) of 3 independent experiments. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001 (unpaired student’s t-test).
(TIF)

S3 Fig. Characterization of potential compensatory mechanisms. Gene expression of genes from Scribble, Gpsm2 and Par complex in erythroblasts isolated by FACS (Aria II) from bone marrow suspensions harvested from (A) EpoR-Cre”;Pard3ΔΔ, (B) EpoR-Cre”;ScribΔΔ and (C) Gpsm2ΔC mice and their age-matched controls. Data are means (+/- SD) of 3 independent experiments. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001 (unpaired student’s t-test).
(TIF)

Acknowledgments
Our thanks go to Samantha McIntosh, Michael Durrant and Stephanie Lewis for their help with the injections and handling of mice. We also thank Janelle Sharkey for optimizing the Par3 PCR reactions.

Author Contributions
Conceptualization: CBW CRW SMR POH.
Formal analysis: CBW.
Funding acquisition: CBW SMR POH.
Investigation: CBW NG IAE KYBL FS.
Methodology: CBW LBP CRW SO FM.
Project administration: CBW.
Resources: CRW SE SMR POH.
Supervision: CRW SMR POH.
Validation: CBW.
Visualization: CBW.
Writing – original draft: CBW.
Writing – review & editing: NG CRW SE SMR POH.

References
30. Emerson CP Jr., Shein SC, Ham TH, Fleming EM, Castle WB. Studies on the destruction of red blood cells. IX. Quantitative methods for determining the osmotic and mechanical fragility of red cells in the peripheral blood and splenic pulp: the mechanism of increased hemolysis in hereditary spherocytosis (congenital hemolytic jaundice) as related to the functions of the spleen. AMA archives of internal medicine. 1956; 97(1):1–38. Epub 1956/01/01. PMID: 13275144