

**An Internet-supported School Physical Activity Intervention in Low Socio-economic Status Communities: Results from the Activity and Motivation in Physical Education (AMPED) Cluster Randomised Controlled Trial**



# AMPED

Activity and Motivation  
in Physical Education

## Authors

\* Chris Lonsdale

Institute for Positive Psychology and Education, Australian Catholic University, 25A Barker Road, Strathfield NSW 2135, Australia. email: [chris.lonsdale@acu.edu.au](mailto:chris.lonsdale@acu.edu.au). Phone: + 61 2 9701 4642

Aidan Lester

Institute for Positive Psychology and Education, Australian Catholic University, 25A Barker Road, Strathfield NSW 2135, Australia. [aidan.lester@myacu.edu.au](mailto:aidan.lester@myacu.edu.au)

Katherine B. Owen

Institute for Positive Psychology and Education, Australian Catholic University, 25A Barker Road, Strathfield NSW 2135, Australia. [katherine.owen@myacu.edu.au](mailto:katherine.owen@myacu.edu.au)

Rhiannon L. White

Institute for Positive Psychology and Education, Australian Catholic University, 25A Barker Road, Strathfield NSW 2135, Australia. [rhiannonlee.white@myacu.edu.au](mailto:rhiannonlee.white@myacu.edu.au)

Louisa Peralta

School of Education and Social Work, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia.

[louisa.peralta@sydney.edu.au](mailto:louisa.peralta@sydney.edu.au)

Morwenna Kirwan

Faculty of Medicine & Health Sciences, Macquarie University

2 Technology Place, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia

[morwenna.kirwan@mq.edu.au](mailto:morwenna.kirwan@mq.edu.au)

Thierno M. O. Diallo

Institute for Positive Psychology and Education, Australian Catholic University, 25A Barker Road, Strathfield NSW 2135, Australia. [Thierno.Diallo@acu.edu.au](mailto:Thierno.Diallo@acu.edu.au)

Anthony Maeder

College of Nursing and Health Sciences, Flinders University, GPO Box 2100 Adelaide SA 5001, Australia. [Anthony.Maeder@flinders.edu.au](mailto:Anthony.Maeder@flinders.edu.au)

Andrew Bennie

School of Science and Health, Western Sydney University, Locked Bag 1797, Penrith NSW 2751, Australia. [A.bennie@westernsydney.edu.au](mailto:A.bennie@westernsydney.edu.au)

Freya MacMillan

School of Science and Health, Western Sydney University, Locked Bag 1797, Penrith NSW 2751, Australia. [F.Macmillan@westernsydney.edu.au](mailto:F.Macmillan@westernsydney.edu.au)

Gregory S. Kolt

School of Science and Health, Western Sydney University, Locked Bag 1797, Penrith NSW 2751, Australia. [g.kolt@westernsydney.edu.au](mailto:g.kolt@westernsydney.edu.au)

Nikos Ntoumanis

School of Psychology and Speech Pathology, Curtin University, Kent Street, Bentley, Perth Western Australia 6102, Australia. [Nikos.Ntoumanis@curtin.edu.au](mailto:Nikos.Ntoumanis@curtin.edu.au)

Jennifer M. Gore

School of Education, University of Newcastle, Callaghan, NSW 2308, Australia.

[Jenny.Gore@newcastle.edu.au](mailto:Jenny.Gore@newcastle.edu.au)

Ester Cerin

Institute for Health and Ageing, Australian Catholic University, Level 6 – 215 Spring Street,  
Melbourne, Victoria 3000, Australia. Ester.Cerin@acu.edu.au

School of Public Health, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, China.  
ecerin@hku.hk

Dylan P. Cliff

Early Start, School of Education, University of Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia.

dylanc@uow.edu.au

David R. Lubans

Priority Research Center in Physical Activity and Nutrition, School of Education, University  
of Newcastle, Callaghan, NSW 2308, Australia. David.Lubans@newcastle.edu.au

\* indicates corresponding author

Keywords: adolescents, online, teacher, professional learning, professional development

Word Count: 3,000

References: 51

## Abstract

**Objective:** Quality physical education (PE) is the cornerstone of comprehensive school physical activity (PA) promotion programs. We tested the efficacy of a teacher professional learning intervention, delivered partially via the Internet, designed to maximise opportunities for students to be active during PE lessons and enhance adolescents' motivation towards PE and PA.

**Methods:** A two-arm cluster randomised controlled trial with teachers and Grade 8 students from secondary schools in low socio-economic areas of Western Sydney, Australia. The Activity and Motivation in Physical EDucation (AMPED) intervention for secondary school PE teachers included workshops, online learning, implementation tasks, and mentoring sessions. The primary outcome was the proportion of PE lesson time that students spent in MVPA, measured by accelerometers at baseline, post-intervention (7-8 months after baseline), and maintenance (14-15 months). Secondary outcomes included observed PE teachers' behavior during lessons, students' leisure-time PA, and students' motivation.

**Results:** Students (N = 1,421) from 14 schools completed baseline assessments and were included in linear mixed model analyses. The intervention had positive effects on students' MVPA during lessons. At post-intervention, the adjusted mean difference in the proportion of lesson time spent in MVPA was 5.58% ( $p < 0.001$ , approximately 4 min/lesson). During the maintenance phase, this effect was 2.64% ( $p < 0.001$ , approximately 2 min/lesson). The intervention had positive effects on teachers' behaviour, but did not impact students' motivation.

**Conclusions:** AMPED produced modest improvements in MVPA and compares favourably with previous interventions delivered exclusively face-to-face. Online teacher training could help facilitate widespread dissemination of professional learning interventions.

**What are the new findings?**

- AMPED was a professional learning intervention for secondary school teachers delivered partially online
- Teachers believed online learning was acceptable and useful
- AMPED increased adolescents' moderate-to-vigorous physical activity during school physical education lessons
- Observed increases in teaching quality were responsible for changes in student activity during lessons

1 Schools are potential venues for adolescent physical activity (PA) promotion [1 2]. The Centres  
2 for Disease Control recommend that schools implement comprehensive PA programs, built on  
3 a foundation of quality physical education (PE) [3]. Quality PE helps students develop the  
4 skills and motivation to be active outside school and later in life [4 5]. It also provides students  
5 with opportunities to be active during PE [3]; however, many lessons do not engage students in  
6 sufficient moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) to benefit their health [6-8].

7  
8 Teacher professional learning interventions can increase children's MVPA during primary and  
9 middle school PE lessons by 14% compared with usual practice [7]. There is, however, little  
10 evidence regarding interventions to increase MVPA in secondary school PE lessons. This  
11 paucity of efficacious interventions is problematic because the greatest declines in PA occur  
12 during early adolescence [9] and PE, when structured effectively, could represent an  
13 opportunity for these youth to participate in substantial amounts of MVPA during lessons.

14  
15 In this study, we tested an intervention designed primarily to increase adolescents' MVPA  
16 during secondary school PE lessons. Intervention content was, therefore, based, in part, on  
17 efficacious programs conducted in primary and middle schools that helped teachers increase  
18 children's opportunities to be active during PE lessons [10-12]. Based on the notion that quality  
19 PE involves more than just high levels of MVPA during lessons, we also employed self-  
20 determination theory tenets to design an intervention that would also help teachers learn  
21 strategies that would motivate students over the long-term by increasing perceptions of  
22 autonomy, competence and belongingness (i.e., satisfying their basic psychological needs) [13  
23 14]. As noted by Hobbs et al [4], this type of integrated approach acknowledges that  
24 interventions designed to increase students' MVPA during lessons should not do so at the  
25 expense of other PE outcomes, such as promoting students' autonomous motivation (e.g.,  
26 enjoyment) [7].

27  
28 Most school-based PA interventions have focused almost exclusively on face-to-face  
29 workshops [7 15]. To enhance teachers learning and the intervention's potential scalability, we  
30 incorporated a 'blended design', with a combination of face-to-face delivery and flexible online  
31 learning [16-18].

32  
33 We conducted a cluster randomised controlled trial (RCT) in secondary schools located in low  
34 socio-economic areas of Western Sydney, Australia. This region has a large proportion of

35 youth from low socio-economic backgrounds [19 20], meaning they are at greater risk of  
36 physical inactivity compared with higher socio-economic status Australian adolescents [21].  
37 We hypothesised that, compared with students in the control condition, students whose teacher  
38 participated in the intervention would:

- 39 1. spend a greater proportion of lesson time in MVPA (primary outcome);
- 40 2. spend a lower proportion of PE lessons being sedentary;
- 41 3. be more likely to attend and participate in PE lessons;
- 42 4. report greater basic psychological needs satisfaction in PE, as well as higher quality  
43 motivation towards PE and leisure-time MVPA; and
- 44 5. accumulate more MVPA and less sedentary time during leisure time.

45

46

## 46 **Methods**

47

### 48 **Study Design and Participants**

49

50 This study involved a prospectively registered (ACTRN12614000184673), two-arm, cluster  
51 RCT with allocation at the school level (1:1 ratio) (see Figure 1) [22]. We assessed outcomes  
52 for a cohort of students at baseline (start of Grade 8), post-intervention (end of Grade 8), and  
53 during a maintenance phase (mid-Grade 9). Australian Catholic University and New South  
54 Wales (NSW) Department of Education ethics boards approved this study.

55

56 School inclusion criteria included: (i) school with students enrolled in Grades 8 and 9; (ii)  
57 funded by the NSW Department of Education; (iii) permission granted by the principal, the  
58 head PE teacher, and at least one Grade 8 PE teacher; (iv) located in Western Sydney; (v) in a  
59 postal code with that was below the median on the Australian Bureau of Statistics' Index of  
60 Relative Socioeconomic Disadvantage.

61 In these schools, eligible participants included all PE teachers, as well as all students physically  
62 able to take part in Grade 8 PE. Parents provided consent prior to student enrolment.

63

64 We invited all schools that met our eligibility criteria, and from those indicating interest we  
65 aimed to purposively select a sample that was representative of the region in terms of school  
66 size and sex composition (i.e., single sex or co-educational). We match paired participating

67 schools according to socioeconomic disadvantage, school size, sex composition of PE classes,  
68 and the duration of PE lessons. Using a computer-based randomisation procedure, a blinded  
69 statistician randomised schools to the control or intervention condition from within each pair  
70 following baseline assessments.

71

## 72 **Interventions**

73

74 Supplementary File 1 contains details of the ‘Activity and Motivation in Physical Education’  
75 (AMPED) intervention. AMPED had two aims: (i) to help teachers deliver lessons that  
76 maximised opportunities for MVPA; and (ii) to help teachers enhance their students’  
77 motivation towards PE. To maximise MVPA opportunities, teachers’ learned strategies that  
78 were categorised under two headings: (a) ‘Maximising Movement and Skill Development’ and  
79 (b) ‘Reducing Transition Time’. Strategies to enhance student motivation were organised under  
80 two further headings: (c) ‘Building Competence’ and (d) ‘Supporting Students’.

81

82 Face-to-face workshops included brief presentations by the research team, but for much of  
83 these teachers worked independently on the project’s website. This independent work was  
84 designed to help ensure teachers were comfortable working on the website, to facilitate later  
85 use. Throughout the entire intervention, teachers had access to online resources, a discussion  
86 forum, videos of good/poor practice (see Supplementary File 1c) and the project’s mobile  
87 phone application, which included implementation and self-reflection prompts (see  
88 Supplementary File 1d).

89

## 90 **Fidelity and Process Evaluation Measures**

91

92 To assess implementation fidelity, trained observers, who were blinded to treatment allocation,  
93 rated a video recording of one randomly selected lesson for 64 teachers at baseline and at post-  
94 intervention. Ratings assessed the extent to which each teacher implemented strategies that  
95 were consistent with the four teaching principles described above [22].

96

97 Teachers completed intervention process evaluation measures of perceived usefulness. They  
98 also evaluated the AMPED website’s usability [23].

99

## 100 **Demographic and Anthropometric Information**



101

102 Students reported their date of birth, sex, ethnic background [24], and family socioeconomic  
103 status [25]. We measured students' height and weight and calculated their body mass index  
104 (BMI) and BMI Z-score [26].

105

## 106 **Outcome Measures**

107

### 108 *Primary Outcome*

109

110 To measure MVPA during three PE lessons at each time point we employed ActiGraph  
111 accelerometers (GT1M, GT3X, and GT3X+ models; Fort Walton Beach, FL) attached at the  
112 right hip. We measured MVPA using 1 sec epochs to accurately capture the sporadic PA bouts  
113 that occur during PE [27]. We used vertical axis data to classify activity intensity using an  
114 MVPA cut point of  $\geq 38.27$  counts per 1sec (derived from a cut point of  $\geq 574$  counts per 15sec  
115 [28]). Research assistants recorded the start and finish times of each lesson (as indicated by the  
116 school bell), which were then used to filter the accelerometer data.

117

### 118 *Secondary Outcomes*

119

120 At each lesson, research assistants recorded the number of students participating, the number  
121 absent, and the number attending but not participating. Accelerometers assessed students'  
122 sedentary behaviour ( $< 1.67$  counts per 1sec), as well as light (1.68-38.25 counts), moderate  
123 (38.26-66.85 counts), and vigorous ( $> 66.86$  counts) intensity activity during PE lessons [28].  
124 We employed these same cut-offs to measure PA and sedentary behaviour during leisure time.  
125 We requested that students wear their accelerometer for five weekdays and two weekend days.  
126 To be included in the analyses, a student needed to provide valid data ( $\geq 8$  h of wear time/day)  
127 for at least three days, including at least two weekdays. We also measured self-reported leisure  
128 time MVPA [29 30].

129

### 130 *Motivational Mediators*

131

132 Students completed questionnaires to assess their perceptions of teachers' motivationally  
133 supportive [31] and controlling [32] behaviours. They also responded to questionnaires

134 measuring their psychological needs satisfaction [33-35], autonomous motivation (e.g.,  
135 intrinsic motivation), controlled motivation (e.g., pressure or guilt), and amotivation (i.e., lack  
136 of motivation) towards PE [36], as well as their motivation towards leisure-time PA [37 38].

137

### 138 **Blinding**

139

140 Research assistants blinded to school allocation collected all data. Students participating in the  
141 study were also blinded, but teachers were aware of their allocation to the intervention or  
142 control condition.

143

### 144 **Sample Size**

145

146 To ensure 80% power to detect an effect of  $d = 0.60$  on the primary outcome (i.e., MVPA  
147 during PE lessons) [7], we required 90 participants for a non-clustered trial (two-tailed  $p =$   
148 0.05). We adjusted our calculations for class level clustering [39]; but, did not include school  
149 level clustering in our power analyses, as school level clustering of MVPA during lessons is  
150 typically negligible [40 41].

151

152 With an estimated class size of 22 participating students and an intra-class correlation of 0.63  
153 [40 41], we required a sample of 1280 students to achieve 80% power. We aimed to recruit  
154 students from 14 schools, and estimated that 4.5 classes per school would participate (i.e.,  
155 1,386 students).

156

### 157 **Statistical Analyses**

158

159 Between November 2015 and October 2016 we conducted analyses using R software [42]. A  
160 researcher blinded to study hypotheses and allocation completed all analyses using generalised  
161 linear mixed models, following intention-to-treat principles. We assessed between-arm  
162 differences in changes by including an indicator variable for allocation (arm), a variable  
163 representing time (baseline, post-intervention, and/or maintenance), and their interaction (arm x  
164 time).

165

166 For the primary outcome, analysis included student MVPA data gathered from up to three  
167 lessons per student at each time point. We included four random intercept effects for: (i) lesson;

168 (ii) student; (iii) teacher; and (iv) class. When preliminary analyses suggested clustering at the  
169 school level, we included a fifth random intercept effect for this level.

170

171 As outlined in our protocol paper [22], we tested pre-specified moderators of intervention  
172 effects, including sex and ethnic background (categorical variables), as well as socio-economic  
173 status and baseline levels of MVPA and psychosocial variables (continuous variables). We  
174 explored significant interaction terms ( $p < 0.1$ ) by testing differences in intervention effects  
175 across sub-groups stratified according to the moderator [43].

176

177 Finally, we used a cluster-bootstrapped based product-of-coefficients test [44] to test potential  
178 mediation pathways. For example, we examined whether teachers' implementation of the  
179 intervention, as indicated by increases in their use of AMPED teaching strategies, mediated the  
180 effect of the intervention on students' MVPA during lessons.

181

182

## Results

183

### Recruitment and Baseline Measures

185

186 Between February and April 2014, 23 of 64 eligible schools (36%) indicated interest in the  
187 study. We purposively selected 14 schools that were representative of the region, in terms of  
188 school population (sample mean = 828 students, region mean = 804 students). All schools in  
189 our sample were co-educational, but 22% of schools in the region were single-sex. Schools  
190 were located in postal codes with a mean decile rank of 2.1 on the Index of Relative  
191 Socioeconomic Disadvantage (mean of eligible schools = 2.4, range of eligible schools = 1 to  
192 5).

193

194 Of the 101 PE teachers in the 14 schools, 94 (93.1%) provided consent, including all 60 Grade  
195 8 PE teachers (100%). Of the 1,806 Grade 8 students enrolled, 1,421 (78.7%) gave their assent  
196 (and parental consent) and provided data during a baseline PE lesson. Demographics are shown  
197 in Table 1.

198

### Fidelity and Process Evaluation

200

201 As shown in Supplementary File 2a, the intervention had significant, large positive effects on  
202 all categories of teacher behaviours that raters assessed, including: (a) Maximising Movement  
203 and Skill Development, (b) Reducing Transition Time, (c) Building Competence and (d)  
204 Supporting Students (all  $p < .001$ ,  $d > 1.6$ ).

205

206 Teachers rated the AMPED training as highly useful ( $M = 4.82$  on 5-point scale,  $SD = 0.38$ ).  
207 They also believed the website was user-friendly ( $M = 4.60$  on 5-point scale,  $SD = 0.48$ ). See  
208 Supplementary File 2b for details.

209

### 210 **Primary Outcome**

211

212 As shown in Table 2, at post-intervention the adjusted mean difference in the proportion of PE  
213 lesson time spent in MVPA was 5.66% (95% CI = 4.71 to 6.63) in favour of the intervention  
214 group ( $p < 0.001$ ). Table 3 shows that during the maintenance phase this effect was 2.66%  
215 (95% CI = 1.13 to 4.17) in favour of the intervention group ( $p = 0.001$ ).

216

217 Moderator analyses (see Supplementary File 3) showed that students whose teachers displayed  
218 poorer teaching at baseline showed greater increases in MVPA between baseline and post-  
219 intervention than did students whose teachers scored higher at baseline (all  $p < 0.1$ ).

220

221 In terms of student variables, students from English/European ethnic backgrounds showed  
222 greater increases in MVPA during lessons compared with students from other ethnic  
223 backgrounds ( $p < 0.05$ ). Students with high amotivation (i.e., lacking motivation), low  
224 autonomous motivation, low relatedness, and low levels of MVPA during baseline lessons also  
225 showed greater increases in MVPA from baseline to post-intervention compared with students  
226 high on these variables ( $p < 0.1$ ). During the maintenance phase, girls' MVPA showed greater  
227 benefit than boys ( $p = 0.001$ ) and the least active students showed greater improvements in  
228 MVPA than students who were more active at baseline ( $p < 0.001$ ).

229

230 Mediator model analyses (see Supplementary File 4) showed that three categories of teacher  
231 behaviours ('Maximising Movement and Skill Development', 'Reducing Transition Time', and  
232 'Supporting Students') were significant mediators of intervention effects on MVPA during  
233 lesson time ( $p < 0.05$ ).

234

## 235 **Secondary Outcomes**

236

237 As shown in Table 2 (post-intervention) and Table 3 (maintenance) students' sedentary time  
238 during PE lesson time decreased ( $p \leq 0.001$ ), while time spent in light, moderate, and vigorous  
239 PA increased ( $p < 0.01$ ). The intervention, however, had no effect on the proportion of students  
240 who participated in PE (see Supplementary File 5).

241

242 At post-intervention (Table 2), accelerometer data showed a small increase in leisure-time  
243 MVPA by control group participants compared with intervention ( $p = 0.06$ ), but this effect was  
244 not observed at maintenance (Table 3). No intervention effects were found for leisure-time  
245 sedentary time or light or vigorous PA.

246

247

## 248 **Motivational Mediators**

249

250 There were no significant intervention effects on PE motivational variables (see Supplementary  
251 File 6). In terms of leisure time motivation, at post-intervention, intervention students'  
252 controlled motivation did not change, but students in the control condition reported a trivial  
253 decrease in controlled motivation ( $d = -0.018$ , ( $p = .005$ )).

254

255

## **Discussion**

256

257 According to the Centers for Disease Control [3], PE is the cornerstone of a comprehensive  
258 school physical activity program. Creating a motivationally supportive class environment and  
259 providing opportunities for students to be physically active during lessons are two elements of  
260 quality PE teaching. The AMPED intervention significantly increased students' MVPA during  
261 PE lessons and mechanisms responsible for these improvements were teachers' increased  
262 motivational support and strategies designed to minimise transition time and maximise  
263 opportunities for movement and skill development. The majority of teachers' completed all  
264 required professional learning elements and positive process evaluations showed that this  
265 Internet-supported professional learning intervention was feasible and acceptable.

266

267 Comparing AMPED intervention effects with previous interventions designed to increase  
268 MVPA in PE is challenging because of methodological differences. First, few studies have  
269 been conducted in the secondary school setting and, to our knowledge, none specifically  
270 targeted schools in low socio-economic areas [7]. Second, most previous studies have  
271 employed observational measures of students' MVPA during PE lessons (e.g., SOFIT) and  
272 these measures tend to overestimate MVPA compared with accelerometry [45].  
273 Notwithstanding the noted sampling differences, the most meaningful comparisons likely  
274 involve an examination of relative effects. The AMPED intervention increased MVPA by  
275 about one-third compared with usual practice. This effect is larger than the 14% relative effect  
276 found in a recent meta-analysis of similar interventions [7].

277

278 During usual practice, students in our sample spent approximately 18% of lesson time in  
279 MVPA, which equates to approximately 11 minutes of MVPA per lesson (mean lesson duration  
280 = 63 minutes). AMPED's post-intervention effect, therefore, equates to approximately four  
281 extra minutes of MVPA per PE lesson. Beets and colleagues [46] recently proposed that  
282 interventions designed to increase youth MVPA should focus on 'expanding', 'extending' and  
283 'enhancing' opportunities for participation. The AMPED intervention represents successful,  
284 albeit modest (e.g., 4 minutes/ PE lesson), 'enhancement' of an existing physical activity  
285 opportunity. However, contrary to previous self-determination theory-based interventions (that  
286 employed self-report measures) [13 14], our objectively-measured results indicated that  
287 AMPED did not increase students' leisure-time MVPA. Thus, on its own, AMPED is not an  
288 intervention that can increase adolescents' overall levels of MVPA. We, therefore, suggest that  
289 AMPED would be best implemented as an enhancement component of a comprehensive school  
290 physical activity program [3] that also includes other 'expansion' and 'extension' initiatives [46  
291 47].

292

293

#### 294 *Limitations and Future Research*

295

296 We employed relatively low intensity recruitment methods (e.g., emails to schools). Further  
297 research is needed to determine if more intensive marketing can increase response rates.  
298 Studies could also investigate if response rates are higher in a scale-up phase [48], when the  
299 burden of assessments is typically less than in an efficacy study (e.g., accelerometers,  
300 questionnaires).

301

302 Using video analysis to assess implementation fidelity is considered a gold standard method  
303 [49] and surpasses the quality of fidelity data gathered in most previous interventions in PE [7].  
304 However, we only rated one lesson per teacher at baseline and post-intervention. Assessing  
305 more lessons could provide greater confidence regarding implementation fidelity.

306

307 AMPED employed a blended training approach (i.e., online and face-to-face) and teachers'  
308 positive responses suggest that Internet-based technology may provide a viable method to  
309 support interventions in schools. Future studies could compare blended delivery approaches  
310 with completely online learning. This research should be combined with cost-effectiveness  
311 analyses.

312

313 Research is required to examine the mechanisms of change in MVPA at the student level.  
314 Contrary to previous self-determination theory-based interventions [13 14], AMPED had no  
315 effect on students' self-reported motivational mediators. As shown in Supplementary File 2,  
316 teachers in our study tended to show greater improvements in the strategies associated with  
317 providing greater opportunities for MVPA compared with those designed to enhance student  
318 motivation. Future research could test the hypothesis that when teachers are presented with an  
319 integrated professional learning intervention, they may gravitate towards strategies that they  
320 perceive can be more easily implemented [50].

321

322 Investigations are also needed to understand why AMPED was most effective for girls and  
323 students with poor motivation. These students are often most at risk of decreasing MVPA  
324 during adolescence [9]; so, if the reasons for AMPED's effectiveness can be identified, these  
325 components could be emphasised in interventions targeting these populations [51].

326

### 327 *Conclusion*

328

329 The AMPED intervention was acceptable to teachers, feasible to deliver, and effectively  
330 increased adolescents' MVPA during PE lessons conducted in schools located in low  
331 socioeconomic areas. Internet-based tools may offer opportunities to support delivery of  
332 teacher professional learning programs designed to enhance adolescents' health and  
333 development.

334

335

336 *Acknowledgements*

337 The research team thanks Ian Moyes, project manager, for his tireless work on the AMPED  
338 trial. The study was prospectively registered with the Australia and New Zealand Clinical  
339 Trials Registry – ACTRN12614000184673. Registration date: February 19, 2014. Results were  
340 presented at the 2016 meeting of the International Society for Behavioral Nutrition and  
341 Physical Activity in Cape Town, South Africa. Ethical approval was obtained from Australian  
342 Catholic University (Reference: 2014185N) and the New South Wales Department of  
343 Education (Reference: 2013162#).

344

345 *Competing Interests*

346 The authors have no competing interests to declare. No financial disclosures were reported by  
347 the authors of this paper.

348

349 *Author Contributions*

350 CL and DL conceived the study and CL led its development and design. DL, AL, MK, IM, JG,  
351 LP, AB, GK, AM, and NN provided input on the design the intervention. KO, RW, FM, DC,  
352 DL, AL, EC and GK provided input on design of the study. EC, NN and TD designed and led  
353 the data analysis. CL drafted the manuscript. All authors edited and approved the final version  
354 of the paper.

355

356 *Funding*

357 An Australian Research Council Discovery Grant (DP130104659) funded this research. During  
358 the course of this research, Aidan Lester, Katherine Owen, and Rhiannon White were each  
359 supported by an Australian Postgraduate Award and an Australian Catholic University  
360 Postgraduate Award. David Lubans (FT140100399) and Ester Cerin (FT140100085) were each  
361 supported by an Australian Research Council Future Fellowship. Dylan Cliff was supported by  
362 an Australian Research Council Discovery Early Career Researcher Award (DE140101588).



363

## References

- 364 1. Hills AP, Dengel DR, Lubans DR. Supporting public health priorities: recommendations  
 365 for physical education and physical activity promotion in schools. *Prog. Cardiovasc.*  
 366 *Dis.* 2015;**57**(4):368-74
- 367 2. Drummond M, Drummond C, Dollman J, et al. Physical activity from early childhood to  
 368 adolescence: a literature review of issues and interventions in disadvantaged  
 369 populations. *The Journal of Student Wellbeing* 2011;**4**(2):17-31
- 370 3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Comprehensive School Physical Activity*  
 371 *Programs: A Guide for Schools*. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human  
 372 Services, 2013.
- 373 4. Hobbs M, Daly-Smith A, McKenna J, et al. Reconsidering current objectives for physical  
 374 activity within physical education: BMJ Publishing Group Ltd and British  
 375 Association of Sport and Exercise Medicine, 2017.
- 376 5. United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization. *Quality Physical*  
 377 *Education: Guidelines for Policy-Makers*. Paris: United Nations Educational,  
 378 Scientific and Cultural Organization, 2015.
- 379 6. Office for Standards in Education Children's Services and Skills. *Beyond 2012 –*  
 380 *Outstanding Physical Education for All: Physical Education in Schools*. Manchester,  
 381 UK, 2013.
- 382 7. Lonsdale C, Rosenkranz RR, Peralta LR, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of  
 383 interventions designed to increase moderate-to-vigorous physical activity in  
 384 school physical education lessons. *Prev. Med.* 2013;**56**(2):152-61 doi:  
 385 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2012.12.004> [published Online First: Epub  
 386 Date]].
- 387 8. Fairclough S, Stratton G. Physical activity levels in middle and high school physical  
 388 education: A review. *Pediatr. Exerc. Sci.* 2005;**17**(3):217
- 389 9. Sallis JF. Age-related decline in physical activity: A synthesis of human and animal  
 390 studies. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 2000;**32**(9):1598-600
- 391 10. McKenzie TL, Sallis JF, Prochaska JJ, et al. Evaluation of a two-year middle-school  
 392 physical education intervention: M-SPAN. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.*  
 393 2004;**36**(8):1382-88
- 394 11. McKenzie TL, Stone EJ, Feldman HA, et al. Effects of the CATCH physical education  
 395 intervention: Teacher type and lesson location. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* 2001;**21**(2):101-  
 396 09
- 397 12. Cohen KE, Morgan PJ, Plotnikoff RC, et al. Physical activity and skills intervention:  
 398 SCORES cluster randomized controlled trial. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.*  
 399 2015;**47**(4):765-74
- 400 13. Cheon SH, Reeve J, Moon IS. Experimentally based, longitudinally designed, teacher-  
 401 focused intervention to help physical education teachers be more autonomy  
 402 supportive toward their students. *J. Sport Exerc. Psychol.* 2012;**34**(3):365-96
- 403 14. Chatzisarantis NLD, Hagger MS. Effects of an intervention based on self-determination  
 404 theory on self-reported leisure-time physical activity participation. *Psychology &*  
 405 *Health* 2008;**24**(1):29-48
- 406 15. Carson RL, Castelli DM, Kuhn ACP, et al. Impact of trained champions of  
 407 comprehensive school physical activity programs on school physical activity  
 408 offerings, youth physical activity and sedentary behaviors. *Prev. Med.*  
 409 2014;**69**:S12-S19

- 410 16. Allen JP, Pianta RC, Gregory A, et al. An interaction-based approach to enhancing  
411 secondary school instruction and student achievement. *Science*  
412 2011;**333**(6045):1034-37
- 413 17. Ballew P, Castro S, Claus J, et al. Developing web-based training for public health  
414 practitioners: what can we learn from a review of five disciplines? *Health Educ.*  
415 *Res.* 2013;**28**(2):276-87
- 416 18. Lewis BA, Napolitano MA, Buman MP, et al. Future directions in physical activity  
417 intervention research: expanding our focus to sedentary behaviors, technology,  
418 and dissemination. *J. Behav. Med.* 2016:1-15 doi: 10.1007/s10865-016-9797-  
419 8[published Online First: Epub Date]].
- 420 19. Australian Bureau of Statistics. Socioeconomic indexes for areas (SEIFA) Secondary  
421 Socioeconomic indexes for areas (SEIFA) 2011.  
422 [http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Lookup/2033.0.55.001main+features](http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Lookup/2033.0.55.001main+features100212011)  
423 [100212011](http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Lookup/2033.0.55.001main+features100212011).
- 424 20. Australian Bureau of Statistics. Australian Social Trends. Secondary Australian Social  
425 Trends 2014.  
426 [http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Lookup/4102.0main+features102014](http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Lookup/4102.0main+features102014-WHERE)  
427 [-WHERE](http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Lookup/4102.0main+features102014-WHERE).
- 428 21. Hardy L, Mihrshahi S, Drayton B, et al. NSW Schools Physical Activity and Nutrition  
429 Survey (SPANS) 2015: Full Report. Sydney: NSW Department of Health., 2016.
- 430 22. Lonsdale C, Lester A, Owen KB, et al. An Internet-supported physical activity  
431 intervention delivered in secondary schools located in low socio-economic status  
432 communities: Study protocol for the Activity and Motivation in Physical Education  
433 (AMPED) cluster randomized controlled trial. *BMC Public Health* 2016;**16**(1):1
- 434 23. Bangor A, Kortum PT, Miller JT. An empirical evaluation of the system usability scale.  
435 *Intl. Journal of Human-Computer Interaction* 2008;**24**(6):574-94
- 436 24. Australian Bureau of Statistics. Australian Standard Classification of Languages.  
437 Canberra, 2011.
- 438 25. Currie C, Molcho M, Boyce W, et al. Researching health inequalities in adolescents: the  
439 development of the Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children (HBSC) family  
440 affluence scale. *Soc. Sci. Med.* 2008;**66**(6):1429-36
- 441 26. Flegal KM, Cole TJ. Construction of LMS parameters for the Centers for Disease Control  
442 and Prevention 2000 growth charts. *Natl Health Stat Report* 2013;**63**:1-4
- 443 27. Sanders T, Cliff DP, Lonsdale C. Measuring adolescent boys' physical activity: Bout  
444 length and the influence of accelerometer epoch length. *PloS One* 2014;**9**(3)
- 445 28. Evenson KR, Catellier DJ, Gill K, et al. Calibration of two objective measures of physical  
446 activity for children. *J. Sports Sci.* 2008;**26**(14):1557-65
- 447 29. Rangul V, Holmen TL, Kurtze N, et al. Reliability and validity of two frequently used  
448 self-administered physical activity questionnaires in adolescents. *BMC Medical*  
449 *Research Methodology* 2008;**8**(1):47
- 450 30. Prochaska JJ, Sallis JF, Long B. A physical activity screening measure for use with  
451 adolescents in primary care. *Arch. Pediatr. Adolesc. Med.* 2001;**155**(5):554-59
- 452 31. Belmont M, Skinner E, Wellborn J, et al. Teacher as social context: A measure of  
453 student perceptions of teacher provision of involvement, structure, and autonomy  
454 support. University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, NY 1988
- 455 32. Bartholomew K, Ntoumanis N, Thøgersen-Ntoumani C. The controlling interpersonal  
456 style in a coaching context: Development and initial validation of a psychometric  
457 scale. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology* 2010;**32**(2):193-21

- 458 33. Standage M, Duda JL, Ntoumanis N. A model of contextual motivation in physical  
459 education: Using constructs from self-determination and achievement goal  
460 theories to predict physical activity intentions. *J. Educ. Psychol.* 2003;**95**(1):97-110
- 461 34. McAuley E, Duncan T, Tammen VV. Psychometric properties of the Intrinsic  
462 Motivation Inventory in a competitive sport setting: A confirmatory factor  
463 analysis. *Res. Q. Exerc. Sport* 1989;**60**(1):48-58
- 464 35. Richer SF, Vallerand RJ. Construction et validation de l'échelle du sentiment  
465 d'appartenance sociale (ÉSAS) [Construction and validation of the ÉSAS the  
466 relatedness feelings scale]. *European Review of Applied Psychology*  
467 1998;**48**(2):129-38
- 468 36. Lonsdale C, Sabiston CM, Taylor IM, et al. Measuring student motivation for physical  
469 education: Examining the psychometric properties of the Perceived Locus of  
470 Causality Questionnaire and the Situational Motivation Scale. *Psychology of Sport  
471 and Exercise* 2011;**12**(3):284-92
- 472 37. Markland D, Tobin V. A modification to the Behavioural Regulation in Exercise  
473 Questionnaire to include an assessment of amotivation. *J. Sport Exerc. Psychol.*  
474 2004;**26**(2):191-96
- 475 38. Dishman RK, McIver KL, Dowda M, et al. Motivation and behavioral regulation of  
476 physical activity in middle-school students. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 2015;**47**(9)
- 477 39. Campbell MK, Elbourne DR, Altman DG. CONSORT statement: Extension to cluster  
478 randomised trials. *Br. Med. J.* 2004;**328**:702-08
- 479 40. Aelterman N, Vansteenkiste M, Van Keer H, et al. Students' objectively measured  
480 physical activity levels and engagement as a function of between-class and  
481 between-student differences in motivation toward physical education. *J. Sport  
482 Exerc. Psychol.* 2012;**34**(4):457
- 483 41. Lonsdale C, Rosenkranz RR, Sanders T, et al. A cluster randomized controlled trial of  
484 strategies to increase adolescents' physical activity and motivation in physical  
485 education: Results of the Motivating Active Learning in Physical Education (MALP)  
486 trial. *Prev. Med.* 2013;**57**(5):696-702
- 487 42. lme4: Linear Mixed-Effects Models using 'Eigen' and S4. R package version 1.1-12  
488 [program], 2016.
- 489 43. Assmann SF, Pocock SJ, Enos LE, et al. Subgroup analysis and other (mis) uses of  
490 baseline data in clinical trials. *The Lancet* 2000;**355**(9209):1064-69
- 491 44. Krull JL, MacKinnon DP. Multilevel modeling of individual and group level mediated  
492 effects. *Multivariate Behavioral Research* 2001;**36**:249-77
- 493 45. Hollis JL, Williams AJ, Sutherland R, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of  
494 moderate-to-vigorous physical activity levels in elementary school physical  
495 education lessons. *Prev. Med.* 2016;**86**:34-54
- 496 46. Beets MW, Okely A, Weaver RG, et al. The theory of expanded, extended, and enhanced  
497 opportunities for youth physical activity promotion. *International journal of  
498 behavioral nutrition and physical activity* 2016;**13**(1):120
- 499 47. Sutherland R, Campbell E, Lubans DR, et al. 'Physical Activity 4 Everyone'school-based  
500 intervention to prevent decline in adolescent physical activity levels: 12 month  
501 (mid-intervention) report on a cluster randomised trial. *Br. J. Sports Med.*  
502 2016;**50**(8):488-95
- 503 48. McKay HA, Macdonald HM, Nettlefold L, et al. Action Schools! BC implementation:  
504 from efficacy to effectiveness to scale-up. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 2014:bjsports-2013-  
505 093361

- 506 49. Glasgow RE, Emont S, Miller DC. Assessing delivery of the five 'As' for patient-centered  
507 counseling. *Health Promotion International* 2006;**21**(3):245-55 doi:  
508 10.1093/heapro/dal017[published Online First: Epub Date]].
- 509 50. Reeve J, Cheon SH. Teachers become more autonomy supportive after they believe it is  
510 easy to do. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise* 2016;**22**:178-89
- 511 51. Guagliano JM, Lonsdale C, Kolt GS, et al. Increasing girls' physical activity during a  
512 short-term organized youth sport basketball program: A randomized controlled  
513 trial. *J. Sci. Med. Sport* 2015

514

515

Table 1: Baseline Characteristics.

Characteristic	Intervention Group	Control Group
<i>Schools</i>		
Index of Relative Socioeconomic Disadvantage for the school's postcode	2.14	2.14
Estimated Grade 8 enrolment in year prior to study (n)	126.14	121.43
Schools with co-ed PE lessons (%)	85.71	85.71
Duration of PE lessons (minutes/lesson)	63.57	62.14
<i>Teachers</i>		
Total participants (n)	47	47
Sex (%)		
Male	55.32	48.94
Female	44.68	51.06
Country of Birth (%)		
Australia	80.85	88.88
Other	19.15	11.12
Overall job satisfaction	8.51 (1.23)	7.96 (1.48)
Years of teaching experience	7.80 (6.45)	8.84 (6.57)
<i>Students</i>		
Total participants (n)	693	728
Sex (%)		
Boys	51.90	59.00
Girls	48.10	41.00
Country of Birth (%)		
Australia	77.90	81.30
Other	22.10	18.70
Age, years	12.96 (0.56)	12.90 (0.52)
Ethnicity (%)		
English & European	58.30	56.70

Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander origin	9.0	10.10
Others	32.70	32.20
Height, m	159.80 (7.91)	159.81 (8.06)
Weight, kg	56.94 (14.86)	56.70 (15.03)
Student BMI category (%)		
Underweight	24.30	24.80
Healthy weight	50.20	50.80
Overweight	18.40	17.40
Obese	7.20	7.10
Daily total physical activity (minutes/day)		
Sedentary	592.63 (117.11)	586.32 (105.68)
Light intensity	90.56 (25.62)	88.94 (24.29)
Moderate intensity	31.35 (11.41)	28.99 (9.98)
Vigorous intensity	20.50 (11.61)	19.45 (11.45)
MVPA	51.85 (20.31)	48.45 (19.04)
Accelerometer wear time	735.04 (119.14)	723.71 (107.81)

Note: Except where indicated, values represent sample means, with standard deviations in parentheses. BMI = body mass index (kg/m<sup>2</sup>). MVPA = moderate-to-vigorous physical activity. Teacher job satisfaction was measured using a 10-point Likert scale (1 = *dissatisfied*, 10 = *satisfied*).

517  
518  
519  
520  
521

Table 2. Changes in behavioural outcomes at post-intervention assessment.

Measure	Control, Mean (SD)				<i>p</i>	Intervention, Mean (SD)				<i>p</i>	Intervention-Control Adjusted Difference in Change		<i>p</i>	Cohen's <i>d</i>	ICC			
	Baseline		Post-intervention			Baseline		Post-intervention			Estimate	95% CI			Student	Class	Teacher	School
	<i>n</i>	Estimate (SD)	<i>n</i>	Estimate (SD)		<i>n</i>	Estimate (SD)	<i>n</i>	Estimate (SD)									
<b>PE Lessons - accelerometer</b>																		
MVPA	728	18.85 (7.17)	629	18.48 (8.20)	<0.001	693	18.19 (6.15)	623	24.06 (8.99)	<0.001	5.66	4.71 to 6.63	<0.001	0.85	0.18	0.09	0.07	0.07
Moderate PA	728	8.44 (3.13)	629	8.80 (3.71)	<0.001	693	8.82 (2.99)	630	11.70 (3.87)	<0.001	2.54	2.07 to 3.01	<0.001	0.83	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.06
Vigorous PA	728	10.44 (5.06)	629	9.72 (5.15)	0.110	693	9.43 (4.01)	630	12.43 (6.05)	<0.001	3.09	2.48 to 3.71	<0.001	0.68	0.25	0.07	0.06	0.06
Sedentary	728	58.16 (9.84)	629	56.52 (12.83)	<0.001	693	57.88 (9.35)	630	46.96 (12.69)	<0.001	-11.11	-12.63 to -9.59	<0.001	-1.16	0.10	0.12	0.08	0.05
Light PA	728	23.02 (5.48)	629	25.01 (6.75)	0.031	693	23.93 (5.30)	630	29.05 (7.09)	<0.001	5.36	4.46 to 6.24	<0.001	0.99	0.09	0.09	0.07	-
<b>Leisure-time - accelerometer</b>																		
MVPA	488	7.24 (4.09)	274	7.47 (4.89)	0.003	520	7.59 (4.49)	345	7.27 (3.97)	0.363	-1.09	-1.87 to -0.31	0.006	-0.25	0.39	0.00	0.05	-
Moderate PA	488	4.24 (2.32)	274	4.50 (3.10)	0.001	520	4.49 (2.68)	345	4.24 (2.32)	0.848	-0.70	-1.17 to -0.22	0.004	-0.28	0.38	0.00	0.06	-
Vigorous PA	488	3.00 (2.25)	274	2.96 (2.32)	0.045	520	3.10 (2.31)	345	3.03 (2.09)	0.113	-0.39	-0.79 to 0.01	0.057	-0.17	0.41	0.00	0.04	-
Sedentary	488	80.61 (6.89)	274	81.40 (7.60)	0.201	520	80.40 (7.37)	345	81.60 (6.68)	0.045	0.92	-0.28 to 2.13	0.133	0.13	0.43	0.00	0.05	-
Light PA	488	12.15 (3.77)	274	11.13 (3.87)	0.001	520	12.01 (4.05)	345	11.12 (3.72)	0.013	0.17	-0.47 to 0.81	0.607	0.04	0.42	0.00	0.03	-
<b>Leisure-time - questionnaire</b>																		
Physical activity frequency	579	3.47 (1.22)	465	3.31 (1.18)	0.089	548	3.35 (1.18)	487	3.29 (1.21)	0.605	0.07	-0.15 to 0.29	0.487	0.06	0.43	0.03	0.04	-
Physical activity duration	258	4.56 (2.04)	202	4.41 (1.95)	0.539	281	4.46 (1.86)	226	4.27 (1.89)	0.191	-0.07	-0.46 to 0.32	0.706	-0.04	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.03

*Note:* ICC = intra-class correlation MVPA = moderate to vigorous physical activity. All accelerometer values represent the proportion of time spent in each intensity of activity (%). Questionnaire data was obtained using Likert scales; for frequency, the scale ranged from 1 = *once per month* to 5 = *every day*. For duration, the scale ranged from 1 = *none* to 8 = *more than 8 hours per week*. Cohen's *d* = adjusted difference in change / pooled *SD* at baseline. "-" indicates that adjustments for school level clustering did not lead to a significant decrease in the chi-squared value. Primary outcome data were collected from 14 schools (73 classes) at baseline and post-intervention. All PE lesson analyses include the following covariates: (i) temperature at the start time of the lesson, (ii) the type of activity included in the lesson, (iii) and the timing of accelerometer fitting for the lesson (the student arrived at lesson wearing an accelerometer or was fitted at started of lesson).

Table 3. Changes in behavioural outcomes at maintenance assessment.

Measure	Control, Mean (SD)				<i>p</i>	Intervention, Mean (SD)				<i>p</i>	Intervention-Control Adjusted Difference in Change		<i>p</i>	Cohen's <i>d</i>	ICC			
	Baseline		Maintenance			Baseline		Maintenance			Estimate	95% CI			Student	Class	Teacher	School
	<i>n</i>	Estimate (SD)	<i>n</i>	Estimate (SD)		<i>n</i>	Estimate (SD)	<i>n</i>	Estimate (SD)									
<b>PE Lessons - accelerometer</b>																		
MVPA	728	18.85 (7.17)	504	17.92 (9.52)	0.772	693	18.19 (6.15)	494	22.44 (9.29)	0.001	2.66	1.13 to 4.17	0.001	0.40	0.15	0.21	0.14	-
Sedentary	728	58.16 (9.84)	504	58.85 (14.81)	0.603	693	57.88 (9.35)	494	50.22 (13.82)	<0.001	-3.74	-6.11 to -1.38	0.002	-0.39	0.10	0.22	0.14	0.04
Light PA	728	23.02 (5.48)	504	23.23 (7.61)	0.475	693	23.93 (5.30)	494	27.40 (7.63)	<0.001	1.29	0.19 to 2.38	0.023	0.24	0.11	0.14	0.08	0.07
Moderate PA	728	8.44 (3.13)	504	8.28 (4.09)	0.168	693	8.82 (2.99)	494	10.77 (3.97)	<0.001	1.06	0.46 to 1.69	0.001	0.35	0.10	0.17	0.13	0.05
Vigorous PA	728	10.44 (5.06)	504	9.64 (6.16)	0.654	693	9.43 (4.01)	494	11.69 (6.52)	0.008	1.51	0.56 to 2.45	0.002	0.33	0.20	0.19	0.10	-
<b>Leisure-time - accelerometer</b>																		
MVPA	488	7.24 (4.09)	184	7.05 (4.14)	0.415	520	7.59 (4.49)	236	6.96 (4.53)	0.586	-0.14	-0.73 to 0.46	0.660	-0.03	0.34	0.02	0.01	0.01
Sedentary	488	80.61(6.89)	184	81.96 (7.31)	0.158	520	80.40(7.37)	236	82.39 (7.55)	0.027	0.02	-0.99 to 0.95	0.964	0.00	0.30	0.03	0.01	0.02
Light PA	488	12.15(3.77)	184	10.99 (4.26)	0.002	520	12.01(4.05)	236	10.65 (4.31)	0.006	0.08	-0.42 to 0.58	0.752	0.02	0.25	0.04	0.00	0.03
Moderate PA	488	4.24(2.32)	184	4.20 (2.39)	0.240	520	4.49(2.68)	236	4.11 (2.61)	0.889	-0.18	-0.54 to 0.19	0.354	-0.07	0.28	0.01	0.02	-
Vigorous PA	488	3.00(2.25)	184	2.85 (2.39)	0.845	520	3.10(2.31)	236	2.85 (2.51)	0.479	0.03	-0.27 to 0.34	0.823	0.01	0.34	0.02	0.00	0.01
<b>Leisure-time Physical Activity - questionnaire</b>																		
Frequency	579	3.47 (1.22)	411	3.14 (1.20)	0.020	584	3.35 (1.18)	437	3.07 (1.20)	0.073	0.03	-0.10 to 0.19	0.652	0.03	0.41	0.06	0.00	-
Duration	258	4.56 (2.04)	179	4.34 (1.98)	0.131	281	4.46 (1.86)	208	4.09 (1.89)	0.112	0.01	-0.24 to 0.24	0.997	-0.04	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.03

Note: ICC = intra-class correlation MVPA = moderate to vigorous physical activity. All accelerometer values represent the proportion of time spent in each intensity of activity (%). Questionnaire data was obtained using Likert scales; for frequency, the scale ranged from 1 = *once per month* to 5 = *every day*. For duration, the scale ranged from 1 = *none* to 8 = *more than 8 hours per week*. Cohen's *d* = adjusted difference in change / pooled *SD* at baseline. "-" indicates that adjustments for school level clustering did not lead to a significant decrease in the chi-squared value. Primary outcome data were collected from 14 schools (73 classes) at baseline and maintenance. All PE lesson analyses include the following covariates: (i) temperature at the start time of the lesson, (ii) the type of activity included in the lesson, (iii) and the timing of accelerometer fitting for the lesson (the student arrived at lesson wearing an accelerometer or was fitted at started of lesson).