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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To assess in-season alterations of biceps femoris long head (BFlh) fascicle length in elite Australian footballers with and without a history of HSI.

Methods: Thirty elite Australian football players were recruited. Twelve had a history of unilateral HSI. Eighteen had no HSI history. All had their BFlh architecture assessed at approximately monthly intervals, six times across a competitive season.

Results: The previously injured limb’s BFlh fascicles increased from the start of the season and peaked at week 5. Fascicle length gradually decreased until the end of the season, where they were shortest. The contralateral uninjured limb’s fascicles were the longest when assessed at week 5 and showed a reduction in-season where weeks 17 and 23 were shorter than the first. Control group fascicles were longest at week 5 and reduced in-season. The previously injured limb’s BFlh fascicles were shorter than the control group at all weeks and the contralateral uninjured limb at week 5. Compared to the control group, the contralateral uninjured limb had shorter fascicles from weeks 9 to 23.

Conclusion: Athletes with a history of HSI end the season with shorter fascicles than they start. Limbs without a history of HSI display similar BFlh fascicle lengths at the end of the season as they begin with. All athletes increase fascicle length at the beginning of the season however the extent of these differed based on history of HSI. These findings show that a HSI history may influence structural adaptation of the BFlh in-season.
INTRODUCTION

For more than 20 years hamstring strain injuries (HSIs) have been the leading cause of lost playing and training time in elite Australian football (26). Furthermore, HSIs commonly re-occur and typically result in a reduced level of performance following a return to competitive match play. (35) These injuries represent a significant financial burden for the athlete and/or their organisation (14). Given that a history of HSI has been consistently shown to increase the risk of future a HSI (11, 25), investigations involving previously injured individuals have attempted to determine if retrospective deficits in structure and/or function of the hamstrings contribute to the elevated risk of re-injury (7, 21-23, 27, 30).

Recently, variations in biceps femoris long head (BFlh) architectural characteristics and their role in the aetiology of HSI have been brought to the attention of researchers and practitioners (30-33). Elite soccer players with shorter BFlh fascicles were reported to have a 4.1 fold increased risk of future HSI and this was amplified in those athletes with a history of HSI (32). These data, coupled with the finding that a previously injured BFlh consistently displays shorter fascicles than the uninjured contralateral limb (30), suggests that architectural characteristics of those with a history of HSI likely contribute to the elevated rate of re-injury.

Providing interventions for athletes that present with shorter fascicles following ultrasonic examination would appear to be relatively straight forward. This is due to the increasing evidence that resistance exercise, particularly eccentric training targeting the hamstrings, can increase BFlh fascicle length (6, 33, 34). However those with a prior HSI might exhibit a reduced scope for positive adaptation as a result of a diminished capacity to activate the
previously injured muscle, per the inhibition hypothesis (7, 10, 22). This reduced ability to activate the previously injured muscle may also limit the extent of strain within the contractile tissue, which in turn may dampen the stimulus needed to increase fascicle length and eccentric strength (4, 13, 18). One study has examined the impact of a prior HSI on the adaptation of the hamstrings, reporting that elite Australian footballers with a HSI in the prior 12 months increased eccentric knee flexor strength to a lesser extent across a pre-season training period than individuals without a HSI(24). A restricted capacity to improve eccentric knee flexor strength is at least one mechanism through which prior HSI could increase the risk of future injury (20, 32).

Despite the aforementioned findings, it remains unclear as to whether a history of HSI impacts upon the adaptive capacity of other risk factors, such as BFlh fascicle length, particularly during the in-season period. Recovery time and competition travel schedules can also limit when physical training can be implemented during a season. It is well established that physical performance variables tend to decline across the in-season period in elite Australian footballers (8). However, it remains to be seen if a specific pathological history might influence these changes. An improved understanding of the in-season changes in BFlh fascicle length, in previously injured and uninjured limbs, may inform on whether those with a history of HSI respond differently to the demands of a competitive season. Such data may have implications for the provision of risk mitigating interventions that are tailored to individuals based on their injury history. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to observe the in-season time course of changes to BFlh architecture in elite Australian footballers, with and without a history of HSI.
METHODS

Participants

Participants

In total, 30 elite male Australian footballers participated in this study. All participants provided written informed consent prior to collection of any data. For all athletes, team medical staff completed a retrospective injury questionnaire that detailed their history of hamstring, quadriceps, groin and calf strain injuries and chronic groin pain in the past 12 months, as well as the history of anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury at any stage throughout their career. This information was sourced from club medical records via the team doctor or physiotherapist. Of the 30 participants, 18 had no history of HSI or any other significant lower limb injury (including ACL) and formed the control group. Twelve athletes had suffered a unilateral BF1h strain injury in the prior 12 months and formed the previously injured group. Ethical approval for the study was granted by the Australian Catholic University Human Research Ethics Committee (approval number 2016-145E).

Study design

This observational, retrospective cohort study was completed during the 2016 Australian Football League season which consists of 23 weeks of competitive matches (March 2016 to August 2016). All participants had their BF1h architecture assessed via two-dimensional ultrasound (Figure 1) approximately once every month on six separate occasions throughout the in-season period, at a consistent tie of day. These assessments occurred at weeks 1, 5, 9, 13, 17 and 23 (final week of competitive games) of the in-season period. . .
The protocol for the collection of BFhl muscle architecture has been described previously (29-33). Muscle thickness, pennation angle and fascicle length of the BFhl was determined from ultrasound images taken along the longitudinal axis of the muscle belly utilising a two dimensional, B-mode ultrasound (frequency, 12Mhz; depth, 8cm; field of view, 14 x 47mm) (GE Healthcare Vivid-i, Wauwatosa, U.S.A). The scanning site was determined as the halfway point between the ischial tuberosity and the knee joint fold, along the line of the BFhl. All architectural assessments were performed with participants in a prone position, with the hip in neutral and the knee fully extended, following at least 5 minutes of inactivity. To gather ultrasound images, the linear array ultrasound probe, with a layer of conductive gel, was placed on the skin over the scanning site and aligned longitudinally and perpendicular to the posterior thigh. Care was taken to ensure minimal pressure was placed on the skin by the probe. Finally, the orientation of the probe was manipulated slightly by the assessor (RGT) if the superficial and intermediate aponeuroses were not parallel. Reliability of the assessor (RGT) has been previously reported for the assessment of BFhl architectural characteristics (intraclass correlations range from 0.93 to 0.98 and typical error as a % coefficient of variation range from 2.1 to 3.4)(30). The assessor (RGT) has experience in the assessment of muscle architecture utilising two-dimensional ultrasound, specifically when assessing the BFhl (6, 30-33).

Once the images were collected, analysis was undertaken off-line (MicroDicom, Version 0.7.8, Bulgaria). For each image (Figure 1), fascicle length estimation was performed as described by Blazevich and colleagues(5). Muscle thickness was defined as the distance between the
superficial and intermediate aponeuroses of the BFlh. A fascicle of interest was outlined and
marked on the image and the angle at which it inserted onto the intermediate aponeurosis was
determined as the pennation angle. The superficial and intermediate aponeurosis angles were
determined as the angle between the line marked as the aponeurosis and an intersecting
horizontal reference line across the captured image (5, 16). As the entire fascicle was not visible
in probe’s field of view, it was estimated via the following equation from Blazevich and
colleagues (5, 16):

\[ FL = \sin(\text{AA} + 90^\circ) \times \frac{MT}{\sin(180^\circ - (\text{AA} + 180^\circ - \text{PA}))}. \]

Where FL = fascicle length, AA = aponeurosis angle, MT = muscle thickness and PA = pennation
angle. Fascicle length was reported in absolute terms (cm) from a single image and fascicle. The
same assessor (RGT) collected and analysed all scans and was blinded to participant identifiers
(name, limb and group) during the collection and analysis of the images.

**Statistical analyses**

**Paragraph**

All data (including age, height and weight) were analysed using a custom spreadsheet which
assessed the magnitude of difference across the season within groups as well as the extent of any
between group differences in muscle architecture, at each time point (15). As there were no
differences between limbs in the control group at all weeks, the two-limb averages were used for
all comparisons. In order to reduce bias associated with non-uniformity of error, all data were
log-transformed and effect sizes (Cohen’s d) with ± 90% confidence interval (CI) were
calculated. Effect sizes of ≥0.2, ≥0.5 and ≥0.8 were defined as small, moderate and large,
respectively, with effect sizes of <0.2 deemed as trivial. Finally, any effects where the 90% CI
simultaneously overlapped the positive (≥0.2) and negative (≤-0.2) thresholds of a small effect, were defined as being unclear(2).

RESULTS

Power calculations

Power analysis was undertaken *a priori* using G-Power(9). The analysis was based on anticipated differences in BF1h fascicle length between the injured and contralateral uninjured limbs, using a split plot ANOVA model. Effect size estimates were based on previous research(30) which reported an effect size of 1.34 when comparing BF1h fascicle length between injured and uninjured limbs. Therefore an effect size of 1.2 was deemed as a reasonable and conservative starting point for determining sample size. A calculated sample size of 10 per group was determined utilising the below parameters:

- Power (1-β err probability) = 0.80
- $\alpha = 0.05$
- Effect size = 1.2

Participant details

There were no clear differences between the two groups with respect to age (unclear effect; $d = 0.11 \pm 0.60$), height (unclear effect; $d = 0.06 \pm 0.59$) and body mass (unclear effect; $d = 0.26 \pm 0.59$) (previously injured group age = 22.9 ± 2.6 yrs, height = 1.87 ± 0.06 m, body mass = 86.0 ± 6.3 kg; control group age = 23.5 ± 3.9 yrs, height = 1.88 ± 0.10 m, body mass = 88.7 ± 10.4 kg). Percentage of total time on ground throughout the entire competitive season did not differ between the previously injured (80.6 ± 3.7%) and the control group (79.8 ± 5.4%; unclear effect;
There were also no within group differences, across the season, in the percentage of total time on ground for either the previously injured (trivial effects; $d$ range: 0.15 to 0.17) or control groups (trivial effects: $d$ range: 0.13 to 0.17).

Throughout the study, three participants suffered a HSI. Two of these were from the control group with one being from the previously injured group. The injuries for the control group participants occurred between weeks 13 and 17. As a result, these two participants were excluded from analysis at weeks 17 and 23. The previously injured participant’s incident occurred after week 23 and was not removed from any analysis due to the injury occurring after the final assessment was completed.

BFb architectural characteristics

Fascicle length

Temporal changes across the in-season period

Previously injured limbs

Fascicle length in the previously injured limbs increased from week 1 to week 5 (small effect; $d = 0.20 \pm 0.32$) and fascicles were longer at all time points when compared to week 23 (small to moderate effects; $d$ range: 0.22 to 0.75; Table 1 and 2, Figure 2). Furthermore, fascicles were longer at weeks 5 and 9 compared to weeks 13 and 17 (small effect; $d$ range = 0.22 to 0.31; Table 1 and 2, Figure 2)

Contralateral uninjured limbs

Fascicle length was longest at week 5 compared to all other weeks (small to large effects; $d$ range = 0.40 to 0.89; Table 1 and 2, Figure 2). Furthermore, fascicle lengths were longer at weeks 1 and 9 compared to weeks 17 and 23 (small to moderate effects; $d$ range = 0.35 to 0.50;
Table 1 and 2, Figure 2). Week 9 also displayed longer fascicles compared to week 13 (small
effect; $d = 0.21 \pm 0.19$; Table 1 and 2, Figure 2), whilst at week 13 fascicles were longer
compared to week 23 (small effect; $d = 0.22 \pm 0.17$; Table 1 and 2, Figure 2).

*Control group*

Longer fascicles were observed in the control group at weeks 5, 9 and 13 when compared to
weeks 1, 17 and 23 (small to large effects; $d$ range: 0.34 to 1.01; Table 1 and 2, Figure 2).
Furthermore, fascicles were longer at week 5 compared to week 13 (small effect; $d = 0.33 \pm$
0.23; Table 1 and 2, Figure 2) and longer at week 17 compared to week 23 (small effect; $d = 0.42$
$\pm 0.26$; Table 1 and 2, Figure 2).

*Between group comparisons*

*Previously injured limbs compared to contralateral uninjured limb*

The previously injured limb displayed shorter fascicle lengths compared to the contralateral
uninjured limb only at week 5 (moderate effect; $d = -0.76 \pm 0.68$; Table 3).

*Previously injured limbs compared to control group*

Fascicle length of the previously injured limb was shorter than the control group at all time
points (moderate to large effects; $d$ range: -1.15 to -0.77; Table 3).

*Contralateral uninjured limb compared to control group*

The contralateral uninjured limb displayed shorter fascicles compared to the control group
average at weeks 9, 13, 17, 23 (moderate to large effect; $d$ range = -0.87 to -0.54; Table 3)
**Pennation angle**

**Temporal changes across the in-season period**

**Previously injured limbs**

Pennation angle in the previously injured limb was smaller at all weeks compared to week 23 (moderate to large effects; $d = -1.13$ to $-0.60$, Table 1). Pennation angle was also lesser at week 5 compared to week 17 (small effect; $d = 0.26 \pm 0.44$, Table 1).

**Contralateral uninjured limb**

Pennation angle was less at week 5 compared to all other weeks (moderate to large effect; $d$ range = $-1.61$ to $-0.71$, Table 1). In contrast, pennation angle was larger at week 23 compared to all other time points (small to large effects; $d$ range = $1.61$ to $0.35$, Table 1). Pennation angle was also lesser at week 1 compared to week 13 (small effect; $d = 0.36 \pm 0.50$, Table 1).

**Control group**

Pennation angle was greatest at weeks 1 and 23 when compared to all other weeks (small to large effects; $d$ range = $0.21$ to $0.94$, Table 1). Further, pennation angle was greater at weeks 13 and 17 when compared to weeks 5 and 9 (small effects; $d$ range = $0.23$ to $0.33$, Table 1).

**Between group comparisons**

**Previously injured limbs compared to contralateral uninjured limb**

Pennation angle in the previously injured limb was larger compared to the contralateral uninjured limbs at weeks 5 and 23 (moderate to large effects; $d$ range = $0.61$ to $1.04$; Table 3).

**Previously injured limbs compared to control group**

When compared to the control group, previously injured limbs had greater pennation angles at weeks 5, 9, 13 and 23 (moderate to large effects; $d$ range = $0.50$ to $1.01$; Table 3).
Contralateral uninjured limb compared to control group

The contralateral uninjured limb’s pennation angle was greater than the control group average at week 9 ($d = 0.61 \pm 0.60$) and 13 ($d = 0.46 \pm 0.62$).

Muscle thickness

Temporal changes across the in-season period

Previously injured limbs

Muscle thickness was greater at week 23 compared to week 1 (small effect; $d = 0.26 \pm 0.45$, Table 1).

Contralateral uninjured limb

No small, moderate or large effects were detected for muscle thickness across all time points.

Control group

Muscle thickness was greater at week 5 ($d = 0.29 \pm 0.19$, Table 1) and week 13 ($d = 0.20 \pm 0.13$, Table 1) compared to week 17.

Between group comparisons

Previously injured limbs compared to contralateral uninjured limb

No small, moderate or large effects were detected for muscle thickness between the previously injured and uninjured contralateral limbs.

Previously injured limbs compared to control group

Compared to the control group the previously injured limbs had decreased muscle thickness at weeks 1, 5 and 13 (moderate effect; $d$ range -0.56 to -0.48; Table 3)

Contralateral uninjured limb compared to control group
No small, moderate or large effects were detected.

**DISCUSSION**

The main findings of this study were 1) those with a history of unilateral HSI end the in-season period with shorter BFlh fascicles compared to the start of the in-season period in both their previously injured and contralateral uninjured limb; 2) uninjured limbs display similar BFlh fascicle lengths at the start of the in-season period compared to the end of the in-season period; 3) increases in BFlh fascicle length were observed early in-season across all athletes, however the magnitude of this increase differed based on history of HSI.

BFlh fascicle length has been identified as a modifiable risk factor for HSI (32), however, it was previously unclear as to how or if this parameter changed across a season in elite Australian footballers. In the current study all groups increased BFlh fascicle length during the early part of the in-season period, which then progressively shortened until the end of the competitive season. Of note, the increase was largest in the control group (moderate effect, $d = 0.67 \pm 0.33$), followed by the contralateral uninjured limbs (small effect, $d = 0.47 \pm 0.27$) and finally the previously injured limbs (small effect, $d = 0.20 \pm 0.32$). This divergence in early in-season responses across groups appears to be a factor that ultimately results in both limbs from the previously injured athlete possessing shorter fascicles at the conclusion of the season compared to the start of the season. From weeks 5 to 23, the control group displays the largest decline in fascicle length (large effect, $d = -1.01 \pm 0.31$), followed by the contralateral uninjured limbs (large effect, $d = -0.89 \pm 0.35$) and then the previously injured limbs (moderate effect, $d = -0.75 \pm 0.37$). These findings differ to work which has examined in-season alterations in vastus lateralis fascicle length, in softball and track and field (3, 19). In these studies, an initial decline in the first half of
the competitive season was counteracted by an increase at the end of the season (3, 19). However as the vastus lateralis acts in an anti-gravity nature, it is likely that the differing roles of the knee extensors and flexors contribute to these divergent findings, as would the differing demands between the sports examined.

The current data suggest that the early in-season period (i.e. within the first one to two months of the commencement of the season) may be an important time to continue to implement interventions to increase BFlh fascicle length, particularly in Australian footballers with a history of HSI. Simplistically, there is the possibility that this could be achieved with high-intensity, eccentric loading strategies that can elicit favourable adaptations within 2 weeks (33). However, there are likely a number of practical considerations that may limit or preclude such a strategy in elite sporting environments compared to those observed from lab-based studies in recreational athletes. These may include coach/athlete apprehension towards eccentrically induced muscle damage often reported in response to unaccustomed training (1) (which can be accentuated by the extent of the muscle strain undertaken during lengthening contractions (17)). Also a greater emphasis placed on recovery between matches at the expense of loading exposures (12, 28), as well as the presence or accumulation of other lower limb injuries that might not result in on-field time loss but do require modifications to resistance exercise prescription. Prior evidence has suggested that the de-training effect for BFlh fascicles following eccentric training interventions can occur in as little as four weeks (33), which would justify the need for constant application of an eccentric strength training stimulus, yet implementation appears to be challenging in practice (1).
It should be acknowledged that the current paper is limited as no architecture data was captured during the pre-season period, which spans November to February. It is certainly possible that the previously injured athletes increased fascicle length substantially during this period and future work should seek to explore this possibility. Nevertheless, across the entire in-season period, the previously injured hamstrings possessed shorter fascicles than the control group at all weeks (moderate to large effects throughout). These findings are likely to at least partly explain the high rates of HSI recurrence seen in Australian footballers (26). Therefore consideration should be given to what previously injured Australian footballers are capable of doing during their off-season program as a means of minimising any deficits at the commencement of the season. As exposure to high speed running can be minimised in the off-season, this may allow for the application of high-intensity strength training interventions targeted at increasing or at least minimising reductions in BFlh fascicle length, leading into the next pre- and in-season periods.

The current study indirectly infers the possibility that previously injured athletes/limbs are less capable of adapting positively to the rigours of in-season demands compared to those without a history of injury. Similar observational research has found that previously injured Australian footballers display less improvement in eccentric knee flexor strength across the pre-season compared to their uninjured counterparts(24). Such limited adaptation in previously injured athletes could be partly attributed to prolonged neuromuscular inhibition(10), which has been noted in previously injured athletes even after returning to pre-injured levels of competition(7, 22, 23, 30). For example, a previously injured BFlh has been shown to be significantly less active than uninjured contralateral muscles during performance of the Nordic hamstring curl(7), which is an exercise commonly used in HSI rehabilitation(1). It is possible that this limited
activation may result in a reduced amount of strain within the tissue and limit the stimulus
required to increase fascicle length (4, 13). However, from a mechanistic perspective, this
phenomenon requires further investigation. No study has investigated whether individuals with
and without a prior history of HSI respond differently to controlled interventions aimed at
increasing eccentric strength and fascicle length. Should differences exist, further exploration as
to whether inhibition manifests at the spinal or supraspinal level would be necessary to guide
interventions targeted at restoring voluntary activation capacity after injury.

The authors acknowledge there are limitations in the current study. First, there are
methodological limitations with the use of two-dimensional ultrasound to estimate BFlh fascicle
length. As the fascicles which were measured are longer than the field of view which was
utilised, the entire fascicle was not captured. Therefore, estimation was required to determine
BFlh fascicle length. The estimation process used has been previously validated against
cadaveric samples (5, 16). However, it must be recognised that there is still error associated with
the determination of BFlh fascicle length (in this assessment typical error is approximately
0.30cm). Secondly, there was no concurrent collection of match and training exposure, internal
and external training load and resistance training programming variables. As several factors are
likely modulators of fascicle length, examining the interaction between previous injury status
and the aforementioned variables needs to be the focus of the next series of studies in this area.
CONCLUSION

Paragraph

Elite Australian footballers with a history of HSI display shorter BFlh fascicles at the completion of the season compared to the start, in both their injured and uninjured limbs. In contrast, athletes without a history of HSI finish the season with similar fascicle lengths to what they started with. Yet they do experience lengthening shortly after the commencement of the season which is then succeeded by a sustained period of shortening for the rest of the season. The impact of injury history on the structural and functional adaptations of the hamstrings requires further examination, as practitioners and clinicians search for novel strategies to mitigate the risk of recurrent HSI in their athletes.
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A two-dimensional ultrasound image of the biceps femoris long head. The image was along the longitudinal axis of the posterior thigh. From these images, it is possible to determine the superficial and intermediate aponeuroses, muscle thickness and angle of the fascicle in relation to the aponeurosis. Estimates of fascicle length can then be made via trigonometry using an equation validated against cadaveric tissue (5).

Fascicle length changes of the biceps femoris long head in previously hamstring strain injured limbs, the contralateral uninjured limb and two-limb average of the control group without a history of hamstring strain injury from elite Australian footballers. The weeks are each separated by ~28 days and all data were collected during the in-season period. Error bars represent standard deviations.